

Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part A

Fabrication of Nanofibrous PVA/Alginate-Sulfate Substrates for Growth Factor Delivery

Journal:	Journal of Biomedical Materials Research: Part A
Manuscript ID	Draft
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Akbari, Mohsen; University of Victoria, Mechanical Engineering Mohammadi, Sajjad; pasteur institute of iran, cell bank of iran Bonakdar, Shahin; Pasteur Institute of Iran, National Cell Bank Department Ramakrishna, Seeram; National University of Singapore, NUS Nanoscience and Nanotechnology Initiative; Shokrgozar, Mohammad; Pasteur Institute of Iran, National Cell Bank semnani, Dariush; Isfahan University of Technology, Department of Textile Engineering Sadeghi, Davoud; Amirkabir University of Technology, Biomedical Engineering Laurent, Sophie; Universite Mons-Hainaut Faculte Medecine-Pharmacie, Pharmacy
Keywords:	alginate sulfate, poly vinyl alcohol, growth factor, drug delivery, tissue engineering

SCHOLARONE[™] Manuscripts

1 Fabrication of Nanofibrous PVA/Alginate-Sulfate Substrates for

Growth Factor Delivery

Sajjad Mohammadi^{1,2}, Seeram Ramakrishna^{3,6}, Sophie Laurent^{4,7}, Mohammad Ali Shokrgozar², Dariush Semnani⁵, Davoud Sadeghi¹⁰, Shahin Bonakdar^{2,*}, Mohsen Akbari^{1,8,9,*} ¹Laboratory for Innovations in Microengineering (LiME), Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Victoria, Victoria, Canada, V8P 5C2. ²National Cell Bank Department, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran 13164, Iran ³Center for Nanofibers and Nanotechnology, Department of Mechanical Engineering, National University of Singapore, Engineering Drive 3, Singapore 117576 ⁴NMR and Molecular Imaging Laboratory, Department of General, Organic and Biomedical Chemistry, University of Mons, 23 Place du Parc, B-7000 Mons, Belgium ⁵Department of Textile Engineering, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan 84156-83111, Iran. ⁶Institute of CNS Regeneration, Jinan University, Guangzhou, China. ⁷Center for Microscopy and Molecular Imaging (CMMI), Rue Adrienne Bolland, 8, B-6041 Gosselies, Belgium. ⁸Center for Biomedical Research, University of Victoria, Victoria, Canada, V8P 5C2 ⁹Center for Advanced Materials and Related Technology (CAMTEC), University of Victoria, Victoria, Canada, V8P 5C2 Department of Biomedical Engineering, Amirkabir University of Technology (Tehran Polytechnic), Tehran, Iran Corresponding authors: Prof. Mohsen Akbari (E-mail: makbari@uvic.ca) and Prof. Shahin Bonakdar (E-mail: sh bonakdar@pasteur.ac.ir)

38 Abstract

Providing affinity sites on alginate (ALG) matrix enables specific binding of growth factors to the polymer backbone and allows their release in a controlled fashion. In this study, we used a blend of alginate sulfate (ALG-S) and polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) to fabricate electrospun scaffolds capable of delivering a heparin-like growth factor, transforming growth factor-beta1 (TGF- β 1). The alginate was sulfated with different degrees of sulfation (DS, from 0.8, 3.4 to 12.4) by a simple process. The success of sulfation was determined by Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR), nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy (NMR), elemental analysis, ultraviolet (UV) spectroscopy and staining with dimethylmethylene blue. The physical-mechanical properties of nanofibrous mats were characterized by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), FTIR, energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX), tensile strength and mass loss analysis. Additionally, the release kinetics of transforming growth factor- β 1 (TGF- β 1) from PVA/ALG-S and PVA/ALG scaffolds were compared. The results showed that the binding and entrapment of TGF-β1 to the nanofibrous scaffolds are improved by the addition of sulfate group to alginate. In conclusion, our results support that nanofibrous scaffold based on PVA/ALG-S can deliver growth factors in tissue engineering application.

55 Key words: alginate sulfate; poly vinyl alcohol; growth factor controlled fashion;
56 electrospun; tissue engineering; scaffolds.

1. Introduction

Alginate (ALG) is an anionic polysaccharide derived from algae, which has found many applications in tissue engineering and drug delivery due to its biocompatibility, low toxicity, and mild gelation in the presence of calcium ions [1-3]. Alginate is an excellent scaffolding material as it can contain large amounts of water and can provide a three-dimensional (3D) environment similar to the extracellular matrix (ECM) for cells to grow and function in [4-6]. Hence, hydrogels of ALG have been extensively used for applications in skin, cartilage, bone, and cardiac tissue engineering [2, 7-11]. Moreover, ALG is an attractive material for use in sustained delivery of bioactive molecules including drugs, proteins, and cells to wounds or damaged tissues [2]. Despite these excellent properties, pure ALG has several disadvantages including uncontrollable degradation, limited range of mechanical properties and lack of receptors for cellular binding [6, 12-14]. The use of ALG for drug delivery applications has been limited by non-specific ionic binding between the negatively charged ALG molecules and positively charged growth factors which lead to their uncontrolled release [15-17]. Therefore, providing affinity sites on the ALG matrix is crucial for specific binding of growth factors to the polymer backbone, which enables their release in a controlled fashion.

Sulfation state of the extracellular matrix (ECM) plays an important role in the regulation of growth factor signaling in native tissues. Sulfated matrixes made from synthetic or naturally-derived polymers have been recently used as a promising approach for stabilization and delivery of growth factors [18]. Recently, it has been shown that the sulfation of ALG can facilitate its reversible electrostatic interaction with heparin-binding proteins in a manner similar to heparin and heparan sulfate [18-23]. In an interesting study, Cohen *et al.* showed that the sulfation of ALG improved its binding with ten known heparin-binding proteins [24]. They achieved the sustained release of basic fibroblast growth factors (bFGF) by mixing ALG and ALG-S, and showed that the release profile was a function of the percentage of bFGF bound to the hydrogel. In another study, the same group used ALG-S for sustained release of

transforming growth factor beta 1 (TGF- β 1) to direct the differentiation of human mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) towards chondrocytes [25]. Ruvinov et al. used ALG-S to fabricate scaffolds for sequential delivery of three angiogenic factors of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), platelet-derived growth factor-BB (PDGF-BB), and TGF-β1[26]. They showed that these growth factors bound to ALG-S with an affinity similar to heparin. In addition, delivering three factors enhanced the vascularization of tissues compared to scaffolds loaded with only bFGF. Re'em et al. developed a bilayer scaffold from ALG-S for simultaneous delivery of TGF- β 1 and osteoinductive bone morphogenetic protein-4 (BMP-4) for cartilage and bone regeneration [25]. This method enabled them to control the migration of stem cells into subchondral defects and direct their differentiation into the appropriate cell lineage. Other growth factors including hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) and insulin-like growth factor-1 (IGF-1) were also delivered by ALG-S carriers for treatment of myocardical infarction [27, 28]. Overall, ALG-S is a promising material for delivering growth factors for tissue engineering applications.

Nanofibrous scaffolds for drug delivery applications offer several advantages including the ability to fine-tune their mechanical and structural properties, their high surface to volume ratio, and having a microstructure that is similar to the ECM [29-31]. Nanofibrous scaffolds have highly porous structures with interconnected pores which can support infiltration, adhesion, proliferation and migration of the cells within the scaffold as well as nutrients and waste exchange [31]. Pore size and distribution, which can be controlled in the electrospinning process, can affect cell infiltration and proliferation within the scaffold [32-35]. Also, interconnectivity of pores in the scaffold is more attainable in a nanofibrous matrix where the continuous form of electrospun nanofibers can generate inter-continuous pathways for delivering oxygen and nutrients to the cells [32, 36]. Currently, electrospinning has proven to be an effective technique for fabrication of nanofibrous scaffolds from polymer solutions [31, 37, 38]. However, fabrication of electrospun scaffolds from naturally-derived hydrogels

such as ALG/ALG-S remains a challenge. This is because ALG/ALG-S lacks chain entanglements owing to its rigid and extended chain conformation in aqueous solution. Also, gelation of the ALG/ALG-S solution begins at very low polymer concentrations [39-42]. Researchers have overcome this challenge by blending the natural polymers with synthetic polymers [43]. Polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) is a water-soluble and biocompatible synthetic polymer with good chemical and thermal stability, relatively high tensile and compressive strength as a result of its chain entanglements, conductivity, surface tension and viscosity of PVA. These properties can promote electrospinnability of the natural polymers [44-46]. Therefore, blending ALG with PVA improves the electrospinnability and mechanical properties of the ALG-based scaffolds. To date, many studies have been conducted on the electrospinning of blended solutions of ALG and PVA [47-49]. However, due to the harsh manufacturing process that includes the application of organic solvents and high electric fields, the incorporation of growth factors during the manufacturing process is limited. To overcome this challenge, the growth factors are typically bound to the scaffolds after the manufacturing process. Thus, hydrophilicity of PVA is another benefit as it can enhance the adsorption of proteins on to the nanofibers [39, 50, 51].

In this study, we demonstrated the fabrication of nanofibrous PVA/ALG-S blend scaffolds
using electrospinning for the first time. We optimized the electrospinning parameters for
PVA/ALG and PVA/ALG-S and introduced a physical method for crosslinking the fabricated
scaffolds.

This was achieved by blending ALG-S with PVA followed by physical crosslinking at elevated temperatures. Morphology, mass loss, tensile strength, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazoliumbromide (MTT) assay and cell attachment on the surface of the scaffolds were assessed *in vitro*. Moreover, the drug-releasing capability of the fabricated constructs was evaluated by loading TGF- β 1 within the scaffold and monitoring the release profile over one week. TGF- β 1 is used as a model growth factor in this study as it has been

142 shown to have Our results suggest that the electrospun constructs made from PVA/ALG-S are 143 promising scaffolds for local delivery of heparin-like proteins for tissue engineering 144 applications.

- **2. Results**



Figure 1. Fabrication and characterization of electrospun PVA/ALG-S scaffolds. (A) Schematic of the process of synthesizing ALG-S and electrospinning of the scaffold. ALG-S was prepared at different sulfation degrees of low (0.8%), medium (3.4%), and high (12.4%). The inset shows a scanning electron microscopy (SEM) of a typical electrospun scaffold. (B) Optical images of ALG with different sulfation degrees. Increasing the sulfation degree resulted in darker solutions. (C) Concentration of sulfate in ALG-S at different sulfation degrees of low, medium, and high. (D) NMR spectra of ALG and ALG-S. The chemical shifts of C1 and C6 of the guluronic acid can be observed at ~ 100 and 177 ppm, respectively, which reveals that the sulfation reaction of ALG do not include Clor C6 substitution.

- 159 Alginate sulfate hydrogels were prepared by addition of chlorosulfonic acid (ClSO₃H) at
 - 135 Auginate sunate hydrogets were prepared by addition of emotosunome acta (C150311) a
 - 160 different concentrations to ALG to achieve various degrees of sulfation (DS) (Figure 1A).

Elemental analysis was used to quantify the extent of sulfation. Three batches of ALG-S were produced with "high" (12.4%), "medium" (3.4%), and "low" (0.8%) degrees of sulfation and were used in the rest of the experiments. Increasing the DS of the samples resulted in a change in their color from light yellow (ALG-S/Low) to dark brown (ALG-S/High) (Figure 1B). The degree of sulfation was further quantified using the dimethyl methylene blue (DMMB) assay (Figure S1). The color of the solutions changed with the degree of sulfation of the ALG-S samples. The measured concentrations of sulfate in low, medium, and high DS samples were 7.7, 14.1, and 55.1 µg/mL, respectively (Figure 1C). Ultraviolet (UV) absorption spectra of the powders prepared from ALG-S with different degress of sulfation (Figure S2) showed higher absorption of UV in the range of 260-280 nm for samples with high DS. Nuclear magnetic resonance (¹³C NMR) data demonstrated the chemical shifts of C1 and C6 of the guluronic acid at ~ 100 and 177 ppm, respectively; this revealed that the sulfation reaction of ALG did not include C1 or C6 substitution (Figure 1D). Furthermore, the chemical shifts of C2, C3, C4, and C5 were found to be between 60–80 ppm, which is in good agreement with previous studies [21, 32]. After sulfation, the chemical shifts of ALG became more complicated and the carbons C2 and C3 moved to lower field positions while C4 and C5 shifted to a higher field position.





Figure 2. FTIR spectra of (A) alginate (ALG), alginate sulfate (ALG-S) and (B) non-crosslinked PVA nanofibers (PVA.F.N.C), crosslinked PVA nanofibers (PVA.F.C), non-crosslinked PVA/ALG-S nanofibers (PVA/ALG-S.F.N.C), crosslinked PVA/ALG-S nanofibers (PVA/ALG-S.F.C). All ALG-S samples are high DS.

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra of ALG and ALG-S is shown in Figure 2A. For the sulfated alginate, peaks characteristic to S=O stretching were confirmed with FTIR spectra at 1250 cm⁻¹. A minor peak was also detected at 873 cm⁻¹, which represented a symmetrical C-O-S vibration associated to a C-O-SO₃ group. Figure 2B shows the FTIR spectra of non-crosslinked and crosslinked polymers. The characteristic peak in PVA spectra is at 3337 cm⁻¹, which represents the bending vibration of hydroxyl groups. Also, the 2941 cm⁻¹ peak represents CH2, the 1709 cm⁻¹ shows the C=O bending vibration, the 1092 cm⁻¹ stands for the C–O group and 850 cm⁻¹ is attributed to the C–C group stretching vibration [52]. The peaks at 3446 cm⁻¹, 624 cm⁻¹ and 1420 cm⁻¹ correspond to hydrogen-bonded OH groups, asymmetric COO- and symmetric COO- stretching vibrations in ALG, respectively [53, 54]. The spectra showed two absorption peaks, one at $\sim 1050 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ relating to S=O stretching vibration and another one at \sim 720 cm⁻¹ corresponding to S-O-C vibration. We also noticed two other absorption peaks, one at ~ 1050 cm⁻¹ relating to the S=O stretching vibration and the other at \sim 720 cm⁻¹ corresponding to the S-O-C vibration. The peak at 1141 cm⁻¹ is caused by the ether group (C-O-C) in all crosslinked nanofibrous scaffolds [54].

Figure 3 shows the Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) results of scaffolds made from PVA, PVA/ALG, and PVA/ALG-S. Point scanning on the surfaces of the scaffolds revealed the presence of O and C elements in the PVA scaffolds, and O, C and Na elements in PVA/ALG scaffolds. An additional S element was detected in PVA/ALG-S due to the sulfation of ALG (Figure 3A). Weight percent of each element was given in **Table 1**. A map scanning showed the homogeneous distribution of each element on the scaffold surfaces (Figure 3B).



Table 1. Stoichiometric Ratio of PVA/ALG composites.				
Sample	Element			
·····	Carbon	Oxygen	Sodium	Sulfur
PVA	78.35	21.65	0	0
PVA/ALG	52.50	44.84	2.66	0
PVA/ALG-S	49.49	45.51	2.09	2.91

We characterized the mechanical properties of the fabricated electrospun sheets as these are crucial parameters for design and optimization of engineered tissue substitutes. Tensile stress-strain curves revealed the effect of the crosslinking process on the tensile strength of the scaffolds (Figure 4A). The effect of sulfation on the ultimate tensile strength of crosslinked and non-crosslinked scaffolds is shown in Figure S3B. Our results indicated that the

John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

222 crosslinking process significantly increased the elongation and ultimate strength of the 223 scaffolds. However, sulfation of ALG had insignificant influence on the mechanical 224 properties of the scaffolds.



Figure 4. Physical and release properties of the PVA, PVA/ALG and PVA/ALG-S nanofibrous scaffolds. (A) Representative uniaxial stress-strain curve of crosslinked and non-crosslinked ALG fibrous scaffolds. (B) Released TGF- β 1from nanofibrous scaffolds made from sulfate alginate and bare alginate after 7 days. Error bars represent the SD (*n*=3; *<0.05).

The degradation profile of the fibrous structures made from PVA, PVA/ALG, and PVA/ALG-S is shown in Figure S3A. All samples were thermally crosslinked. Scaffolds that were made from PVA presented a faster degradation rate compared to those that were made from PVA/ALG blends. The faster degradation rate was attributed to the formation of hydrogen bonding between the carboxylate group of ALG and the hydroxyl group of PVA [55, 56]. No significant change in the degradation rate of sulfated and non-sulfated was observed. We assessed the growth factor binding and entrapment of the nanofibrous scaffolds that were made from PVA/ALG and PVA/ALG-S (Figure S3A). Before the release studies, TGF-B1 was physically adsorbed in the scaffolds for 24 h and washed with phosphate buffer solution (PBS, pH 7.4 at 25 °C) to remove the protein residues on the surface of the scaffolds. The cumulative percentage release of TGF- β 1 from scaffolds was then measured in after 1, 24, and 168 h using enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). The release of TGF-β1 from



The effect of blending PVA with ALG on the morphology of the nanofibers was assessed using SEM (Figure 5A). Heterogeneous fibers containing many beads were observed in the PVA sample. In contrast, the addition of ALG and ALG-S to PVA led to the formation of uniform nanofibers without any beads. SEM images of the crosslinked scaffolds demonstrated that the morphology of the nanofibers was similar to that of non-crosslinked scaffolds. Cell

attachment to the scaffolds was evaluated by seeding human derived mesenchymal stem cells (hMSCs) on the electrospun scaffolds. Scanning electron microscopy images of the cells were taken after 24 h of seeding (Figure 5B). Due to the faster degradation rate of the PVA scaffolds, larger pore sizes were observed in these scaffolds compared to those that were blended with ALG. Moreover, cells attached better to the PVA/ALG scaffolds as they maintained their integrity due to the slower degradation rate. This was further confirmed by staining the F-actin filaments of the cells after four days in culture (Figure S4A). Figure S4B displays the results of the cell viability of HMSCs was used to evaluate the cytotoxicity of the nanofibrous mats in comparison with the control. All the samples (PVA, PVA/ALG, and PVA/ALG-S nanofibrous scaffold) showed cell viability greater than 90% in accordance to the control extraction media.

We measured the diameter of the fibers in the fabricated nanofibrous scaffolds by analyzing the SEM images of each scaffold. The diameters of randomly-selected fibers (n=100) were measured when the scaffolds were not crosslinked, crosslinked, and exposed to the cells. Figure S5A shows the size distribution for non-crosslinked PVA scaffolds. Fiber diameters were in the range of 97 nm to 2.8 m with the average diameter of 391±400 nm. Interestingly, blending PVA with alginate significantly affected the uniformity of the fiber diameters in the nanofibrous scaffolds. For example, the size distribution of the fibers made from PVA/ALG was in the range of 137 to 385 nm with the average diameter of 232±52 nm (Figure S5B). However, sulfation of alginate did not have a significant effect on the size distribution and average diameter of the nanofibers (Figure S5C). The range of fiber diameter for scaffolds made from PVA/ALG-S was from 164 to 470 nm, and the average fiber diameter was 273±58 nm. We also investigated the effect of crosslinking on the size distribution of nanofibers. Overall, the size of the nanofibers slightly increased after the crosslinking process (Figure

S5D-E). The average fiber diameters were 637±532 nm, 275±50 nm, and 239±47 nm for
PVA, PVA/ALG, and PVA/ALG-S, respectively. When cells were seeded on the scaffolds,
the diameter of the fibers increased considerably due to swelling (Figure S5D-E). The
increase in the fiber diameter was more pronounced in structures that were made from PVA
alone. The average fiber diameters for PVA, PVA/ALG, and PVA/ALG-S were 2.89±2.0nm,
319±81nm, and 365±91nm, respectively.

3. Discussion

Alginate is a biocomaptible hydrogel with many applications in wound healing, drug delivery, and tissue engineering. Alginate gels have been widely used for delivering low molecular weight drugs, in particular when a primary or secondary bond between the drug and the ALG is formed to modulate the release kinetics of the drug. Due to the hydrophilicity and relatively large pore sizes of ALG gels (5 nm) [57], proteins such as VEGF, bFGF, and TGF- β 1 can be rapidly released from the ALG microcarriers. However, the kinetics of release cannot be controlled accurately because of the uncontrolled degradation rate of ALG in vivo. In this study, we sulfated ALG to provide affinity sites on the hydrogel matrix for specific binding of growth factors to the polymer backbone. Alginate was sulfated using two protocols. The first protocol was followed as described by Fan et al. [58]. However, elemental analysis indicated that DS of 0.9 % was obtained using this protocol. In order to enhance growth factor adsorption, higher DS is required. Thus, the protocol described by Ronghua et al. was followed to obtain a higher DS of ALG [59]. This method presents some advantages over protocols described in literature [24, 58] including defined DS, high efficiency, simplicity, low cost, etc [60]. Elemental analysis demonstrated that DS of 12.4% was obtained via this process while other applied sulfation protocols resulted in lower DS. Ronghua et al. also reported similar results [59].

The process of ALG sulfation was characterized by ¹³C NMR and FTIR. Lack of chemical shift of C1 and C6 in ¹³C NMR spectra means that sulfate groups were not added to those carbons and were mainly added to the OH groups (either one of the C2 or C3 or both) of uronic acid. The shift of the carbons C2 and C3 to lower field position was due to the direct attachment of electronegative sulfate ester groups, while the shift of C4 and C5 to higher field positions was a result of indirect attachment to sulfate ester groups, as shown previously by others [24, 58, 59]. The sulfation of uronic acid in ALG was also indicated by the absorption peaks in FTIR spectra of S=O and S-O-C vibration, in good agreement with previous studies [24, 58, 59]. Furthermore, crosslinking of the mixed scaffolds was identified by a peak of ether group (C-O-C) which was obtained from the crosslinking of PVA [54].

Evidence showed the poor electrospinnability of PVA with the molecular weight of 144000 g.mol⁻¹. Many beads were formed during the process, mainly due to the instability of the solution. However, when PVA was blended with ALG or ALG-S, more uniform nanofibers were formed. This behaviour is related to the higher viscosity of the PVA/ALG blend compared to the pure PVA [55]. According to the SEM images, it can be concluded that electrospinnability of both PVA and ALG (or ALG-S) have been promoted by blending. Also, blending PVA/ALG and PVA/ALG-S nanofibrous scaffolds resulted in better crosslinking and cell attachment compared to the PVA nanofiber counterpart.

Researchers have used many chemical and physical methods to crosslink PVA based nanofibrous scaffolds in order to reduce their water solubility [61-63]. Since the chemical methods yield toxic products [64, 65], in this study, PVA/ALG and PVA/ALG-S nanofibrous scaffolds were crosslinked by a physical method (heating at 150 °C).

Polyvinyl alcohol with molecular weight of 144000 g.mol⁻¹ was used to obtain higher tensile
strength [66, 67]. A decrease in the tensile strength of PVA with addition of ALG and ALG-S
as a result of the indisposition of homogeneity of the polymeric substrate has been reported
previously [68]. Crosslinking increases elongation at the break of the scaffold. In addition,

physically crosslinking enhances the tensile strength of all scaffolds [69, 70]. Moreover, a
higher degree of crosslinking of the scaffolds containing ALG and ALG-S lowered the mass
loss in comparison with physically crosslinked PVA scaffolds [71]. The ALG-S nanofibrous
scaffolds showed a favorable entrapment of the loaded TGF-β1 due to the higher affinity of
the ALG-S over ALG for binding to TGF-β1.

Figure 4B displays the results for growth factor delivery and shows the slow release of TGF-339 β 1 from ALG-S fibers in comparison with ALG. In the body, growth factors are attached to 340 heparin and the presence of sulfate groups on alginate structure is similar to heparin structure 341 [16, 17, 60, 72]. Our results demonstrated that sulfation of alginate matrix promoted protein 342 attachment *in vitro*. Therefore, cells in culture medium (supplemented with 10% FBS) can be 343 adhered to ALG-S faster than ALG due to the higher adsorption of proteins.

The morphology and fiber diameter plays an important role on the interaction of the scaffolds and the cells and the release kinetic of the drugs. We examined the effect of blending alginate and PVA on the microstructure of the electrospun scaffolds and size distribution of the nanofibers. Becuase of the poor electrospinnibility of PVA, the pore size and size distribution of the fibers were not uniform in the scaffolds that were made from PVA alone. However, blending alginate with PVA improved the ability to electrosping these materials as demonstrated by the uniform size distribution of nanofibers shown in Figure S5D-F. Overall, the crosslinking process did not influence the size distribution of the scaffolds. However, due to the expansion of the polymers during the thermal treatment, the average diameter of the nanofibers was slightly higher than the non-crosslinked scaffolds. Moreover, when cells were seeded on the scaffolds, the scaffolds that were made from PVA/ALG and PVA/ALG-S showed better integrity.

4. Conclusions

This study reports the first electrospun scaffold made from sulfated ALG. The sulfation of ALG at different degrees was successfully performed and characterized. To enhance the

manufacturability of the sulfated ALG, it was blended with PVA and thermally crosslinked to form mechanically stable scaffolds. The mechanical properties of the crosslinked blend were significantly improved compared to the non-crosslinked mixture. We also observed a slightly slower degradation rate for the PVA/ALG blend compared to pure PVA. The release profile of TGF-B1 from scaffolds made from sulfated ALG was more sustained compared to the non-sulfated scaffolds; this shows the potential of such constructs for growth factor delivery in tissue engineering. Moreover, biocompatibility assessments revealed a favorable and supportive cellular environment for hMSCs in vitro. All of the findings showed that blending ALG-S with PVA could overcome the disadvantages of both polymers and result in a suitable scaffold with tremendous potential for application in tissue engineering.

5. Experimental Section

Materials: Alginate (ALG,100-300 cP, 2%(25°C)), chlorosulfonic acid (ClSO₃H, 99%), chondroitin sulfate (CS), formamide (1.128-1.132 g.mL⁻¹), tritonX-100, paraformaldehyde, Hoechst reactives, DMMB and phalloidin were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (USA). Polyvinyl alcohol (PVA, Mn=144000 g.mol⁻¹ and 98% hydrolysis), acetone, glutaraldehyde (GTA) (50%)), were purchased from Merck company (Germany). Dulbecco modified Eagle medium (DMEM) and penicillin-streptomycin were purchased from Gibco-BRL, (Life Technologies, Rockville, MD, USA). Fetal bovine serum (FBS) was purchased from NanoBioArray, Iran.

Sulfating of ALG: In order to sulfate the ALG, Formamide/ClSO3H solutions were prepared
with three different ratios (80:1, 80:5 and 80:20), and ALG (10g) was added to each solution.
The mixture was then preserved at 60 °C for 4 h to obtain a brown paste. 200 mL of acetone
was added to precipitate the solution. The precipitate was redissolved in distilled water while
the pH of the obtained solution was adjusted to 11 and dialyzed for 72 h [59].

383 Preparation of nanofibrous scaffolds: a solution containing 5 wt% of PVA and 1 wt% of ALG

and ALG-S12.4 were electrospun. Also, a PVA solution (10 wt%) was electrospun as control.

The polymer solution was prepared by dissolving PVA in deionized water for 2 h and under continuous stirring at 90 °C. After that, ALG and ALG-S powders were added to the cooled solution at 25 °C separately and the stirring was continued for 3 h to obtain homogeneous polymer blend solutions. To produce electrospun scaffolds, syringes fitted with 21-G needles were utilized. The optimized parameters were 30 kV and 12 cm for distance between the needle tip and the collector and 18 ml.h⁻¹ for flow rate.

391 Crosslinking of nanofibers: The nanofibrous scaffolds were physically crosslinked by heating
392 at 150 °C for 24 h, and then cooled to 25 °C.

Elemental analysis: Sulfation degree (DS) of the ALG-S samples was determined by highresolution inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (HR-ICP-MS). Specimens were prepared via dissolving 5 mg of ALG-S in 0.1 M HNO₃ (45 mL). The measured sulfur content in ALG-S powder was used to determine the DS. The DS is defined as the average number of sulfate groups per ALG monomer [59] using Equation (1) where x represents the DS [60].

398 Total monomer mass =
$$C_6H_5O_6 + (x+1) Na^+ + x SO_3 + H_2O$$
 (1)

399 It was expected in Equation 1 that almost 10% water was accompanying the ALG.

Ultraviolet (UV) spectroscopy: UV absorption of the stock solutions (16 μg.ml⁻¹) of different
401 ALG-S powders with determined DS (0%, 0.8%, 3.4% and 12.4%, which was proven by
402 elemental analyses) was analyzed by ePoch spectrophotometer (Biotech instruments, USA).

403 CS was used as control and data was recorded over the wavelength range of 200-800 nm.

Dimethyl methylene blue (DMMB) staining: DMMB binds to sulfated glycosaminoglycans
and changes from blue to purple [73, 74]. We proposed that DS can be easily determined by
DMMB staining protocol. This assay was performed by an ELISA reader (statfax2100, USA)
in a 96 well plate with CS as control at wavelength of 545 nm. Different ALG-S samples with
various DS (0%, 0.8%, 3.4% and 12.4%) were used. The stock solutions with different
concentrations (0, 0.53, 1.1, 2.15, 5.33, 10.1 and 16 µg.ml⁻¹) and CS in buffer (0.05 M of

sodium acetate, 0.05% of tween 20, at pH 6.8) were prepared. DMMB (at the concentration of 16 μ g.ml⁻¹) was dissolved in 0.03 M of sodium formate and 0.2% v/v of formic acid. 75 μ l of each stock solution, 25 μ L of guanidine hydrochloride (2.88 M in buffer) and 200 μ L of DMMB solution were added to each well.

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy: Both ALG and ALG-S were dissolved in
deionized water. ¹³C NMR experiments were recorded at 25 °C on a Bruker Avance 400 MHz
spectrometer (Bruker BioSpin AG, Germany) equipped with a 5 mm cryogenic CP-TCI zgradient probe. Tetramethylsilane (TMS) was used as the internal standard. The ¹³C NMR
data were analyzed with TopSpin 3.0 software (Bruker BioSpin AG, Germany).

Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy: FTIR spectroscopy (PerkinElmer, USA) was
performed to identify the chemical structure of the samples. The specimens were mixed with
KBr to make sample pellets prior to examination. The spectra were scanned between 400 and
4000 cm⁻¹.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM): A field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM; Philips XL30-Holland) equipped with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX;
Link WDX-3PC-USA) was used to investigate the morphology of the nanofibrous scaffolds.
Surfaces of the nanofibrous scaffolds were sputter-coated with gold (Au) prior to
examination. To evaluate the DS of sulfated ALG, point scanning and map scanning were
performed by an EDX.

Mechanical properties: Tensile strength of nanofibrous scaffolds were analyzed as described 430 previously [5] using a uniaxial tensile machine (Instron TM - SM, England) with a load cell of 431 10 N capacity. The scaffolds were cut into rectangular strips of 1×2 cm² dimension and fixed 432 vertically on the gripping unit of the tensile tester. Specimens were drawn at a crosshead 433 speed of 10 mm.min⁻¹ and data were recorded every 50 ms.

In vitro mass loss: The nanofibrous scaffolds were cut into square shapes and weighed. The
435 scaffold were soaked in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS at pH=7.4) and incubated at 37 °C.

436	At specified times specimens were taken out from the incubator, washed with dionized water
437	and vacuum-dried at 40 °C for 48 h [75]. Mass loss of the scaffold was calculated over a 2-
438	month time period using Equation (2).
439	Mass loss (%) =((Wo - Wt) / Wo) $\times 100$ (2)
440	Where Wo and Wt are the dry mass of the specimens before and after the degradation,
441	respectively.
442	Cell culture: Human mesenchymal stem cells (HMSCs) isolated from abdominal adipose
443	tissue were supplied from National Cell Bank of Iran, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran, Iran.
444	The medium consisted of DMEM-ham's F12 supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and
445	100 μg.ml ⁻¹ penicillin–streptomycin.
446	Cell attachment: In order to investigate the cell attachment by SEM, 5×10^5 cells obtained
447	from third subculture of HMSCs were seeded on each specimen and incubated for 4 h. After
448	removal of the culture medium, the specimens were rinsed in PBS twice and fixed by
449	glutaraldehyde 4% solution. The samples were then dehydrated in graded alcohols and sputter
450	coated by gold.
451	Cell actin staining: The cell actin staining was performed according to protocol described in
452	literature [76, 77]. Briefly, the cells were fixed by paraformaldehyde (4% in PBS) and
453	permeabilized using tritonX-100 (0.1% in PBS). Afterwards, the cells were incubated for 45
454	min by a 0.2 μ g.ml ⁻¹ solution of phalloidin in PBS to stain the actin filaments. Finally, cell
455	nuclei were stained by a solution of Hoechst (1.5 μ g.ml ⁻¹ in PBS) for 5 min and electrospun
456	nanofibers were observed by a fluorescence microscope (Hund, Wilovert 30, Germany).
457	In vitro cytotoxicity by MTT assay: To determine the toxicity of the samples, HMSCs used
458	for MTT assay according to ISO 10993-5. The nanofibrous scaffolds were cut into rectangular
459	shapes of 2 cm \times 1 cm. Each sample was immersed in 1 ml of serum-free culture
460	medium DMEM-ham's F12 for 7 days at 37°C. The serum-free culture medium without

461 nanofibers was also incubated at 37 °C in the mentioned times and used as control. Briefly,

cells were plated into a 96-well microtiter plate at 1×10^4 cells/well and 100 mL of DMEM-ham's F12 supplemented by 10% FBS was added to each well and incubated for 24 hours at 37 °C. After 24 h incubation, the culture medium of each well removed and replaced with 100 mL MTT (Sigma, USA) solution (0.5 mg ml⁻¹ in PBS) was added to each well and incubated for 4 h at 37 °C. Finally, the MTT solution was removed and 100 mL isopropanol (Sigma, USA) was added to per well. The plates were then incubated at 37 °C for 15 min prior to absorbance measurements. The optical density (OD) was recorded on Absorbance at 570 nm was read on a multiwell microplate reader (ICN, Switzerland) at 545 nm and normalized to the control OD. The cell toxicity can be obtained according to the following Equation (3). $Toxicity\% = 1 - \frac{mean of OD sample}{mean of OD control} \times 100$ (3) TGF-B1 release study: A TGF-B1 Enzyme-Linked Immuno-sorbent Assay (ELISA, Biolegend, USA) kit was used to quantify the release rate of growth factor based on supplier

474 instructions. 250 ng.ml⁻¹ TGF- β 1 (Biolegend) was added to each sample (PVA/ALG and 475 PVA/ALG-S). After 24 h, the remaining growth factor solutions were discarded and fresh 476 deionized water added. The amount of released TGF- β 1 was detected by ELISA reader 477 (statfax2100, USA) at specified intervals (1 h, 24 h and 128 h).

Statistical analysis: Statistical calculations were performed on GraphPad Prism 6, ANOVA
479 test was used to analyze the data. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

5. Acknowledgement:

481 MA acknowledge the financial support of the Canadian Institute for Health Research (CIHR)
482 and Canadian Foundation for Innovations (CFI). SB, MAS, DS, and DS would like to
483 acknowledge the Pasteur Institute of Iran for their financial support within the research grant
484 No. 753 and also kiananobiovista Company for its electrospinning equipment services. The
485 authors declare no potential conflict of interest.

6. References:

1			
2	487	1	Pawar SN and K I Edgar Chemical modification of alginates in organic solvent
3	487	1.	systems Biomacromolecules 2011 12 (11): p 4095-4103
4 5	489	2	Mirani B et al An advanced multifunctional hydrogel-based dressing for wound
6	490		<i>monitoring and drug delivery</i> . Advanced healthcare materials 2017 6 (19): p
7	491		1700718
8	492	3.	Santagapita, P.R., M.F. Mazzobre, and M.P. Buera, <i>Formulation and drying of</i>
9	493		alginate beads for controlled release and stabilization of invertase.
10	494		Biomacromolecules, 2011. 12 (9): p. 3147-3155.
11	495	4.	Tamayol, A., et al., <i>Hydrogel templates for rapid manufacturing of bioactive fibers</i>
12	496		and 3D constructs. Advanced healthcare materials, 2015. 4(14): p. 2146-2153.
15 14	497	5.	Akbari, M., et al., Composite living fibers for creating tissue constructs using textile
15	498		techniques. Advanced functional materials, 2014. 24(26): p. 4060-4067.
16	499	6.	Ghorbanian, S., et al., Microfluidic direct writer with integrated declogging
17	500		mechanism for fabricating cell-laden hydrogel constructs. Biomedical microdevices,
18	501		2014. 16 (3): p. 387-395.
19	502	7.	Madl, C.M., et al., Presentation of BMP-2 mimicking peptides in 3D hydrogels directs
20	503		cell fate commitment in osteoblasts and mesenchymal stem cells. Biomacromolecules,
21	504		2014. 15 (2): p. 445-455.
22	505	8.	Venkatesan, J., et al., Alginate composites for bone tissue engineering: A review.
25 74	506		International journal of biological macromolecules, 2015. 72: p. 269-281.
25	507	9.	Desai, R.M., et al., Versatile click alginate hydrogels crosslinked via tetrazine–
26	508		norbornene chemistry. Biomaterials, 2015. 50: p. 30-37.
27	509	10.	Samorezov, J.E. and E. Alsberg, Spatial regulation of controlled bioactive factor
28	510		delivery for bone tissue engineering. Advanced drug delivery reviews, 2015. 84: p. 45-
29	511	1 1	
30	512	11.	Pedde, R.D., et al., Emerging Biofabrication Strategies for Engineering Complex
31	513	10	Tissue Constructs. Advanced Materials, 2017.
32	514	12.	Briquez, P.S., et al., Design principles for therapeutic angiogenic materials. Nature
33 34	515	12	Reviews Materials, 2016. I: p. 15006.
35	510	13.	A dyanaad drug daliyary raviawa 2016 0 6 n 21 20
36	519	14	Advanced drug derivery reviews, 2010. 90. p. 51-59.
37	510	14.	high motoching high and high staring and the staring start and the start
38	520	15	Buyinov E and S Cohen Algingta biomaterial for the treatment of myocardial
39	520	15.	infarction: Progress translational strategies and clinical outlook: From ocean algae
40	522		to nation bedside Advanced drug delivery reviews 2015 96 n 54-76
41	523	16	Dvir T et al Nanotechnological strategies for engineering complex tissues. Nature
42	525	10.	nanotechnology 2011 6(1): p 13-22
45 44	525	17	Ieon O DW Wolfson and F Alsherg In - Situ Formation of Growth - Factor -
45	525 576	17.	Loaded Coacewate Micronarticle - Embedded Hydrogals for Directing Encapsulated
46	520 527		Stam Call Eata Advanced Materials 2015 27(13): p 2216 2223
47	527	18	Öztürk E et al. Sulfated Hydrogel Matrices Direct Mitogenicity and Maintenance of
48	520	10.	Chondrocyte Phenotyne through Activation of EGE Signaling Advanced Functional
49	530		Materials 2016 26 (21): n 3649-3662
50	531	19	Ren X et al Surface modification and endothelialization of hiomaterials as
51	532	17.	notential scaffolds for vascular tissue engineering annlications. Chemical Society
52 53	533		Reviews. 2015. 44(15): p. 5680-5742
55 54	534	20	Babavalian H et al Analysis of healing effect of alginate sulfate hydrogel dressing
55	535	<u> </u>	containing antimicrobial peptide on wound infection caused by methicillin-resistant
56	536		Staphylococcus aureus. Jundishapur journal of microbiology. 2015. 8(9).
57			T
58			21
59			
60			John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

2			
3	537	21.	Vulic, K. and M.S. Shoichet, Affinity-based drug delivery systems for tissue repair
4	538		and regeneration. Biomacromolecules, 2014. 15(11): p. 3867-3880.
5	539	22.	Arlov, Ø., et al., The impact of chain length and flexibility in the interaction between
6	540		sulfated alginates and HGF and FGF-2. Biomacromolecules, 2015. 16(11): p. 3417-
7	541		3424.
8	542	23.	Schmidt, J., et al., Alginate Sulfates Mitigates Binding Kinetics of Proangiogenic
9	543		Growth Factors with Receptors toward Revascularization. Molecular pharmaceutics
10	544		2016 13 (7): p 2148–2154
11	545	24	Freeman I A Kedem and S Cohen The effect of sulfation of alginate hydrogels on
12	546	21.	the specific hinding and controlled release of hengrin-hinding proteins. Biometerials
13	540		the specific binning and controlled release of neparin-binning proteins. Biomatchais, $2008, 20(22)$, p. 3260, 3268
14	519	25	Po'em T at al. Simultaneous regeneration of articular cartilage and subshondral
15	540	23.	Ke eni, 1., et al., Simulaneous regeneration of articular cartilage and subchonaral
16	549		bone induced by spatially presented 1GF-beta and BMF-4 in a bildyer ajjinity binding
17	550	26	system. Acta biomaterialia, 2012 . $\delta(9)$: p. $3283-3293$.
18	551	26.	Freeman, I. and S. Cohen, The influence of the sequential delivery of angiogenic
19	552		factors from affinity-binding alginate scaffolds on vascularization. Biomaterials, 2009.
20	553		30 (11): p. 2122-2131.
21	554	27.	Ruvinov, E., J. Leor, and S. Cohen, <i>The effects of controlled HGF delivery from an</i>
22	555		affinity-binding alginate biomaterial on angiogenesis and blood perfusion in a
23	556		hindlimb ischemia model. Biomaterials, 2010. 31(16): p. 4573-4582.
24	557	28.	Ruvinov, E., J. Leor, and S. Cohen, The promotion of myocardial repair by the
25	558		sequential delivery of IGF-1 and HGF from an injectable alginate biomaterial in a
20	559		model of acute myocardial infarction. Biomaterials, 2011. 32 (2): p. 565-578.
27	560	29.	Capulli, A., et al., Fibrous scaffolds for building hearts and heart parts. Advanced
20	561		drug delivery reviews, 2016, 96 ; p. 83-102.
29	562	30	Peng S et al Multi-functional electrospun nanofibres for advances in tissue
30	563	20.	regeneration energy conversion & storage and water treatment Chemical Society
37	564		Reviews 2016 45 (5): n 1225-1241
32	565	31	Akbari M. et al. Tartila Tachnologias and Tissue Engineering: A Path Toward
34	566	51.	Organ Waaving Advanced healthcare materials 2016 5(7): p. 751, 766
35	567	27	Tomoval A et al. Eiber based tissue angineering: Progress, challenges, and
36	569	52.	annayol, A., et al., Floer-oused lissue engineering. Frogress, challenges, and
37	500	22	Opportunities. Biotechnology Advances, 2015. 51 (5). p. 009-087.
38	570	<i>33</i> .	Semnani, D., et al., Evaluation of PCL/chilosan electrospun hanofibers for liver tissue
39	570		engineering. International Journal of Polymeric Materials and Polymeric Biomaterials,
40	5/1	2.4	2017. 66 (3): p. 149-157.
41	572	34.	Xin, S., et al., Novel layer-by-layer structured nanofibrous mats coated by protein
42	573		films for dermal regeneration. Journal of biomedical nanotechnology, 2014. 10(5): p.
43	574		803-810.
44	575	35.	Sadeghi, D., et al., <i>Electrospun poly (hydroxybutyrate)/chitosan blend fibrous</i>
45	576		scaffolds for cartilage tissue engineering. Journal of Applied Polymer Science, 2016.
46	577		133 (47).
47	578	36.	Najafabadi, A.H., et al., Biodegradable nanofibrous polymeric substrates for
48	579		generating elastic and flexible electronics. Advanced Materials, 2014. 26(33): p.
49	580		5823-5830.
50	581	37.	Kharaziha, M., et al., PGS: Gelatin nanofibrous scaffolds with tunable mechanical
51	582		and structural properties for engineering cardiac tissues. Biomaterials, 2013. 34(27):
52	583		p. 6355-6366.
53	584	38.	Hasan, A., et al., <i>Electrospun scaffolds for tissue engineering of vascular grafts</i> . Acta
54 55	585		biomaterialia, 2014. 10 (1): p. 11-25.
56			
57			
58			22
59			
60			John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

1			
2	50(20	
3	586	39.	Sridhar, R., et al., Electrosprayed nanoparticles and electrospun nanofibers based on
4	587		natural materials: applications in tissue regeneration, drug delivery and
5	588	10	pharmaceuticals. Chemical Society Reviews, 2015. 44(3): p. 790-814.
6 7	589 590	40.	Nie, H., et al., <i>Effects of chain conformation and entanglement on the electrospinning of pure alginate</i> . Biomacromolecules, 2008. 9 (5): p. 1362-1365.
8 9	591	41.	Jeong, S.I., et al., <i>Electrospun Alginate Nanofibers with Controlled Cell Adhesion for</i>
10	592	10	Tissue Engineeringa. Macromolecular bioscience, 2010. 10(8). p. 934-945.
11	593	42.	Bhattarai, N., et al., Alginate - based nanofibrous scaffolds: Structural, mechanical,
12	594	10	and biological properties. Advanced Materials, 2006. 18(11): p. 1463-1467.
13	595	43.	Saquing, C.D., et al., Alginate–Polyethylene Oxide Blend Nanofibers and the Role of
14	596		the Carrier Polymer in Electrospinning. Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research,
15	597		2013. 52 (26): p. 8692-8704.
16	598	44.	Wang, X., et al., <i>Electro-spinning/netting: a strategy for the fabrication of three-</i>
17	599		dimensional polymer nano-fiber/nets. Progress in Materials Science, 2013. 58(8): p.
18	600		1173-1243.
19	601	45.	Shin, S.R., et al., Carbon-Nanotube-Embedded Hydrogel Sheets for Engineering
20	602		Cardiac Constructs and Bioactuators. ACS Nano, 2013. 7(3): p. 2369–2380.
21	603	46.	Zhu, Y., et al., Study on the chain entanglement of polyvinyl alcohol fiber during the
22	604		<i>dry-jet wet spinning process</i> . Fibers and Polymers, 2015. 16 (2): p. 345-353.
24	605	47.	Shen, W. and YL. Hsieh, <i>Biocompatible sodium alginate fibers by aqueous</i>
25	606		processing and physical crosslinking. Carbohydrate polymers, 2014. 102: p. 893-900.
26	607	48.	Stone, S.A., et al., In situ citric acid crosslinking of alginate/polyvinyl alcohol
27	608		electrospun nanofibers. Materials Letters, 2013. 112: p. 32-35.
28	609	49.	Annabi, N., et al., 25th anniversary article: rational design and applications of
29	610		hydrogels in regenerative medicine. Advanced Materials, 2014. 26(1): p. 85-124.
30	611	50.	Szentivanyi, A., et al., <i>Electrospun cellular microenvironments: understanding</i>
31	612		controlled release and scaffold structure. Advanced drug delivery reviews, 2011.
32	613		63 (4): p. 209-220.
33	614	51.	Bhardwaj, N. and S.C. Kundu, <i>Electrospinning: a fascinating fiber fabrication</i>
34 25	615		technique. Biotechnology advances, 2010. 28(3): p. 325-347.
36	616	52.	Sundarrajan, S., et al., Fabrication of NiO/zirconium oxide nanofibers by
37	617		electrospinning. Materials Science and Engineering: C, 2014. 45: p. 369-373.
38	618	53.	Lawrie, G., et al., Interactions between alginate and chitosan biopolymers
39	619		<i>characterized using FTIR and XPS</i> . Biomacromolecules, 2007. 8(8): p. 2533-2541.
40	620	54.	Vatankhah, E., et al., Phenotypic modulation of smooth muscle cells by chemical and
41	621		mechanical cues of electrospun Tecophilic/gelatin nanofibers. ACS applied materials
42	622		& interfaces, 2014. 6 (6): p. 4089-4101.
43	623	55.	Safi, S., et al., Study of electrospinning of sodium alginate, blended solutions of
44	624		sodium alginate/poly (vinyl alcohol) and sodium alginate/poly (ethylene oxide).
45	625		Journal of applied polymer science, 2007. 104 (5): p. 3245-3255.
46	626	56.	Coleman, M.M. and P.C. Painter, <i>Hydrogen bonded polymer blends</i> . Progress in
4/	627		Polymer Science, 1995. 20(1): p. 1-59.
48 40	628	57.	Boontheekul, T., HJ. Kong, and D.J. Mooney, Controlling alginate gel degradation
49 50	629		utilizing partial oxidation and bimodal molecular weight distribution. Biomaterials,
51	630		2005. 26 (15): p. 2455-2465.
52	631	58.	Fan, L., et al., Synthesis and anticoagulant activity of sodium alginate sulfates.
53	632		Carbohydrate Polymers, 2011. 83(4): p. 1797-1803.
54	633	59.	Ronghua, H., D. Yumin, and Y. Jianhong, Preparation and in vitro anticoagulant
55	634		activities of alginate sulfate and its quaterized derivatives. Carbohydrate polymers,
56	635		2003. 52 (1): p. 19-24.
57			
58			23
59			John Willow & Cons. Inc.
60			John Wiley & John, Inc.

1			
2	(2)	<u> </u>	
3	636	60.	Arlov, Ø., et al., Heparin-Like Properties of Sulfated Alginates with Defined
4	637	<i></i>	Sequences and Sulfation Degrees. Biomacromolecules, 2014. 15(7): p. 2744-2750.
5	638	61.	Destaye, A.G., CK. Lin, and CK. Lee, <i>Glutaraldehyde vapor cross-linked</i>
6	639		nanofibrous PVA mat with in situ formed silver nanoparticles. ACS applied materials
7	640		& interfaces, 2013. 5(11): p. 4745-4752.
8	641	62.	Canbolat, M.F., et al., Preservation of cell viability and protein conformation on
9	642		immobilization within nanofibers via electrospinning functionalized yeast. ACS
10	643		applied materials & interfaces, 2013. 5(19): p. 9349-9354.
11	644	63.	Van Vlierberghe, S., P. Dubruel, and E. Schacht, <i>Biopolymer-based hydrogels as</i>
12	645		scaffolds for tissue engineering applications: a review. Biomacromolecules 2011
13	646		12 (5): p. 1387-1408.
14	647	64	Bolto B et al Crosslinked poly (vinyl alcohol) membranes Progress in Polymer
15	648	01.	Science 2009 34 (9): n 969-981
10	6/19	65	Pirzada T et al Hybrid silica_PV4 nanofibers via sol_ael electrospinning
1/ 10	650	05.	Langmuir 2012 28 (12): p. 5834 5844
10	651	66	Langhun, 2012. 20(15). p. 5054-5044. Sloughtor D.V. et al. Hydrogals in regenerative medicine. Adv. Motor. 2000. 21(22)
20	(52	00.	Slaughter, B. v., et al., <i>Hydrogets in regenerative medicine</i> . Adv Mater, 2009. 21(52-
20	032	(7	55). p. $550/-5529$.
21	053	67.	Lee, J.S., et al., Role of molecular weight of atactic poly (vinyi alconoi)(PVA) in the
22	654		structure and properties of PVA nanofabric prepared by electrospinning. Journal of
23	655	60	Applied Polymer Science, 2004. 93(4): p. 1638-1646.
25	656	68.	Lee, Y.J., et al., Preparation of atactic poly (vinyl alcohol)/sodium alginate blend
26	657		nanowebs by electrospinning. Journal of applied polymer science, 2007. 106(2): p.
27	658		1337-1342.
28	659	69.	Hassan, C.M. and N.A. Peppas, Structure and applications of poly (vinyl alcohol)
29	660		hydrogels produced by conventional crosslinking or by freezing/thawing methods, in
30	661		Biopolymers · PVA Hydrogels, Anionic Polymerisation Nanocomposites. 2000,
31	662		Springer. p. 37-65.
32	663	70.	Liang, D., B.S. Hsiao, and B. Chu, Functional electrospun nanofibrous scaffolds for
33	664		biomedical applications. Advanced drug delivery reviews, 2007. 59(14): p. 1392-1412.
34	665	71.	Zhang, X., M.R. Reagan, and D.L. Kaplan, <i>Electrospun silk biomaterial scaffolds for</i>
35	666		regenerative medicine. Advanced drug delivery reviews, 2009, 61(12); p. 988-1006.
36	667	72.	Ruvinov, E. and S. Cohen, Alginate biomaterial for the treatment of myocardial
37	668		infarction: progress, translational strategies, and clinical outlook: from ocean algae
38	669		to patient bedside. Advanced drug delivery reviews 2016 96 n 54-76
39	670	73	Bonakdar S et al Preparation and characterization of polyvinyl alcohol hydrogels
40	671	73.	crosslinked by biodegradable polyurethane for tissue engineering of cartilage
41	672		Materials Science and Engineering: C_{2010} 30 (A): n 636 643
42	673	74	Vuniak Novakovic, G and P. I. Freshney, Culture of cells for tissue engineering. Vol
43	674	/ 4.	7 2006: John Wiley & Sons
44 45	675	75	7. 2000. John whey & Sons. United H at al. Algingta nanofibrous mate with adjustable degradation rate for
45 46	676	75.	najian, n., et al., Alginale nanojiorous mais with aujustable degradation rate jor
40 47	0/0	76	regenerative medicine. Biomacromolecules, 2015. 10 (3). p. 930-945.
47 70	6//	/0.	vacanti, N.M., et al., Localized delivery of dexamethasone from electrospun fibers
40 70	6/8		reduces the foreign body response. Biomacromolecules, 2012. 13 (10): p. 3031-3038.
49 50	679	77.	Chaubaroux, C., et al., Collagen-based fibrillar multilayer films cross-linked by a
51	680		<i>natural agent</i> . Biomacromolecules, 2012. 13 (7): p. 2128-2135.
52	681		
53	682		
54	683		
55	684		
56	685		
57	686		
58			24
59			2 7
60			John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Fabri factor

698 Supporting Information

Fabrication of Nanofibrous Alginate/Sulfate Substrates for growty

701 factor delivery in Regenerative medicine

Sajjad Mohammadi^{1,2}, Seeram Ramakrishna^{3,6}, Sophie Laurent^{4,7}, Mohammad Ali
 Shokrgozar², Dariush Semnani⁵, Davoud Sadeghi¹⁰, Shahin Bonakdar², Mohsen Akbari^{1,8,9}



John Wiley & Sons, Inc.





728



Figure S4. (A) Representative fluorescent image of hMSCs seeded on electrospun ALG-S scaffolds for 4 days and immunostained for F-actin filaments (green) and nuclei (blue). (B) Cell viability of hMSCs in the extraction media obtained from immersion of the fabricated electrospun scaffolds that were made from crosslinked PVA, PVA/ALG, and PVA/ALG-S in the serum free DMEM-ham's F12 medium for 7 days. Error bars represent the SD (n=3; *<0.05).



Figure S5. Fiber diameter and size distribution of nanofibers in the fabricated electrospun scaffolds that were made from non-crosslinked PVA (A), PVA/ALG (B), and PVA/ALG-S (C); crosslinked PVA (D), PVA/ALG (E), and PVA/ALG-S. Fiber diameter and size distribution of scaffolds when human mesenchymal stem cells were seeded on scaffolds made from (G) PVA, (H), PVA/ALG, and (I) PVA/ALG-S